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The creators of statistical processing software for the marketing research community have confronted them with a variety of approaches in dealing with significance testing relating to weighted sample means. Each of these approaches produces a different variance of the weighted sample mean, and thus a different test statistic. The purpose of this note is to explain their bases, compare their approaches, and make some recommendations.

1. Terminology

The formula for the weighted mean is

$$
x^{*}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}} .
$$

And so the variance of the weighted mean is

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(x^{*}\right)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2} \operatorname{Var}\left(x_{i}\right)}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2}} .
$$

If each of the x's has the same variance, $\sigma^{2}$, then this reduces to

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(x^{*}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{f},
$$

where the "effective sample size" f is given by

$$
f=\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}} .
$$

## 2. Estimation of $\sigma^{2}$

a. WinCross

If each of the x's has the same expected value $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$, then the usual estimate of the variance, namely

$$
s^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1},
$$

where

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}{n}
$$

is an unbiased estimate of $\sigma^{2}$. It is this estimate that is used by WinCross in computing the variance of the weighted mean $x^{*}$, i.e., the WinCross estimate of the variance of the weighted mean is $\mathrm{s}^{2} / \mathrm{f}$.
b. SPSS

An alternative estimate of the variance $\sigma^{2}$, used by SPSS in its computations, is based on the weighted data, namely

$$
s_{w}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}^{*}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}-1}
$$

It can be shown that this estimate is a biased estimate of $\sigma^{2}$, in that

$$
E\left(s_{w}^{2}\right)=\sigma^{2} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{g}
$$

so that a proper unbiased estimate of $\sigma^{2}$ based on $s_{w}^{2}$ would be $g s_{w}^{2}$, where the unbiasing factor g is given by

$$
g=\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}}
$$

SPSS does not perform this adjustment, but instead uses the biased estimate $s_{w}^{2}$. SPSS compounds the estimation problem by estimating the variance of the weighted mean by

$$
\frac{s_{w}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}}
$$

instead of by $s_{w}^{2} / \mathrm{f}$. That is, instead of dividing $s_{w}^{2}$ by the "effective sample size" f it divides $s_{w}^{2}$ by the sum of the weights, the "weighted sample size,"

$$
c=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}
$$

## c. Mentor

First let us establish a glossary relating CfMC Mentor's notation to ours.

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \\
S & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i} \\
Z & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}^{2} \\
Y & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

So $E=F^{2} / Y$ is the effective sample size, which we call $f$. The weighted mean is $M=S / F$, which we call $x^{*}$. Mentor calculates an "adjusted sum of squares" A, via the following formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\frac{Z-S^{2} / F}{Y / F} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right)^{2} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right) x^{* 2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}} \\
& =s_{w}^{2} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w-1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that the expected value of A is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(A)=E\left(s_{w}^{2}\right) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w-1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}} \\
& =\frac{\sigma^{2} / g}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}} \\
& =\sigma^{2}\left[\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}}-1\right] \\
& =\sigma^{2}(f-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Mentor's estimate of $\sigma^{2}$ is given by $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{A} /(\mathrm{E}-1)$, or

$$
s_{c}^{2}=A\left[\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}}-1\right],
$$

and so we see that it is an unbiased estimate of $\sigma^{2}$.

Following is an algebraically simplified expression for $s_{c}^{2}$, Mentor's estimate of $\sigma^{2}$.

$$
s_{c}^{2}=\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\left(x_{i}^{2}-x^{*^{2}}\right)}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}}
$$

Mentor then estimates the variance of $x^{*}$ by $s_{c}^{2} / f$.

## 3. Comparison

a. Variance of WinCross estimate of variance of $x^{*}$

Since both the WinCross estimate and the Mentor estimate of the variance of $x *$ are unbiased, the way one must compare the two estimates is by determining which one of these estimates has the smaller variance. Since $(n-1) s^{2} / \sigma^{2}$ has a chi-square distribution with $n-1$ degrees of freedom, we know that the variance of $(n-1) s^{2} / \sigma^{2}$ is $2(n-1)$, so that variance of the WinCross estimate of $s$, namely $s^{2}$, is $2 \sigma^{4} /(n-1)$, and the variance of the WinCross estimate of the variance of $x *$ is $2 \sigma^{4} / f^{2}(n-1)$.

## b. Variance of Mentor estimate of variance of x*

Since both WinCross and Mentor estimate the variance of $x^{*}$ by dividing their estimates of $\sigma^{2}$ by f , one need only compare the variance of $s^{2}$, the WinCross estimate of $\sigma^{2}$, with the variance of $s_{c}^{2}$, Mentor's estimate of $\sigma^{2}$. We first establish some notation. Let X be the n vector of observations, $E$ be the $n$-vector of 1 's, and I be the identity matrix. Then $s^{2}$ can be expressed as

$$
\mathrm{s}^{2}=\mathrm{aX} \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mathrm{AX}
$$

where $\mathrm{a}=1 /(\mathrm{n}-1)$ and $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{I}-(1 / \mathrm{n}) \mathrm{EE}^{\prime}$.
We can express $s_{c}^{2}$ as

$$
s_{c}^{2}=\mathrm{bX}^{\prime} \mathrm{BX}
$$

where, as above, c is the "weighted sample size,"

$$
b=\frac{c}{c^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}},
$$

$B=D_{w}-(1 / c) W W^{\prime}, W$ is the n-vector of weights, and $D_{w}$ is a diagonal matrix with the weights $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$ on the diagonal.

The symmetric matrices A and B can each be expressed as a product of orthogonal and diagonal matrices, where the orthogonal matrices are the matrices of eigenvectors of A and B and the diagonal matrices are matrices containing the eigenvalues of $A$ and $B$. Let the decompositions of $A$ and $B$ be expressed as $A=Q_{A}{ }^{\prime} D_{A} Q_{A}$ and $B=Q_{B}{ }^{\prime} D_{B} Q_{B}$. Then

$$
\mathrm{s}^{2}=\mathrm{aX}^{\prime} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{a} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{A}}
$$

and

$$
s_{c}^{2}=\mathrm{b} X^{\prime} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{b} Y_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{B}}
$$

Since the covariance matrix of $X$ is $\sigma^{2} I$, and both $Q_{A}$ and $Q_{B}$ are orthogonal matrices, the covariance matrix of $Y_{A}$ is $\sigma^{2} \mathrm{Q}_{A}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Q}_{A}=\sigma^{2} I$ and the covariance matrix of $Y_{B}$ is $\sigma^{2} \mathrm{Q}_{B}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Q}_{B}=\sigma^{2} I$. Therefore $s^{2}$ and $s_{c}^{2}$ are expressible as a weighted sum of squares of independent variables with common variance $\sigma^{2}$, and where the weights are the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{bD}_{\mathrm{B}}$, respectively. That is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s^{2}=a \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{A i} y_{A i}^{2} \\
& s_{c}^{2}=b \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{B i} y_{B i}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so, since $y_{A i}^{2} / \sigma^{2}$ and $y_{B i}^{2} / \sigma^{2}$ have chi-square distributions with 1 degree of freedom, so that $\operatorname{Var}\left(y_{A i}^{2}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(y_{B i}^{2}\right)=2 \sigma^{4}$, we see that the variances of the two estimates are expressible in terms of the sum of squares of the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{aD}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{bD}_{\mathrm{B}}$, namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}\left(s^{2}\right)=2 \sigma^{4} a^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{A i}^{2} \\
& \operatorname{Var}\left(s_{c}^{2}\right)=2 \sigma^{4} b^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{B i}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to determine these eigenvalues.
All but one of the eigenvalues of A are equal to 1 , with the $n$-th eigenvalue equal to 0 (see S.N. Roy, B.G. Greenberg, and A.E. Sarhan "Evaluation of Determinants, Characteristic Equations and their Roots for a Class of Patterned Matrices" Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological), Vol. 22, No. 2. (1960), pp. 348-359).. Thus the sum of the eigenvalues of $A$ is $n-1$, and so, since $a^{2}=1 /(n-1)^{2}$, we see that $\operatorname{Var}\left(s^{2}\right)=2 \sigma^{4} /(n-1)$, as demonstrated earlier using a nonmatricial derivation.

We need not determine the eigenvalues of $B$ to calculate their sum of squares, for $B^{2}=Q_{B}{ }^{\prime} D_{B} Q_{B} Q_{B}{ }^{\prime} D_{B} Q_{B}=Q_{B}{ }^{\prime} D_{B} D_{B} Q_{B}$, and so the sum of squares of the eigenvalues of $B$ is equal to the sum of eigenvalues of $B^{2}$. But the sum of eigenvalues of a symmetric matrix is equal to the trace of that matrix, i.e., the sum of its diagonal terms. So we need only look at the diagonal terms of $B^{2}$ to obtain this required quantity.

$$
\text { Since } B=D_{w}-(1 / c) W W^{\prime}, B^{2}=D_{W}^{2}-(1 / c) D_{W} W W^{\prime}-(1 / c) W W^{\prime} D_{W}+(1 / c)^{2} W W^{\prime} W^{\prime} \text {, }
$$ and

$$
\operatorname{tr} B^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}-2 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}}+\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2}}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}\left(s_{c}^{2}\right)=2 \sigma^{4} b^{2}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}-2 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}}+\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2}}\right] \\
& =2 \sigma^{4} \frac{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right]^{2}}{\left\{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}\right]^{2}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right]^{2}} \\
& =2 \sigma^{4} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{4}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## c. Comparison

Before proceeding with a proof that $\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{s}^{2}\right) \leq \operatorname{Var}\left(s_{c}^{2}\right)$, I will illustrate these computations with an example. I selected as weights 100 random numbers from a uniform distribution between 0 and 1 . These weights, along with their squares and cubes, are given in Appendix I. The variance of $s^{2}$, excluding the factor $2 \sigma^{4}$, is $1 / 99=0.010101$. The various sums needed to compute the variance of $s_{c}^{2}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}=45.040576 \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}=29.631266 \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{3}=22.913609
\end{aligned}
$$

The variance of $s_{c}^{2}$, again excluding the factor $2 \sigma^{4}$, is calculated as

$$
\frac{29.631266 \times(45.0405756)^{2}-2 \times 22.913609 \times 45.040576+(29.631266)^{2}}{(45.0405756)^{4}-2 \times 29.631266 \times(45.040576)^{2}+(29.631266)^{2}}=0.014756
$$

Thus in this example use of $s_{c}^{2}$ will produce an estimate of the variance of $x^{*}$ with 1.46 times the variance compared with the use of $s_{c}^{2}$.

Now let us compare $\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{s}^{2}\right)$ with $\operatorname{Var}\left(s_{c}^{2}\right)$. One can simplify the expression for $\operatorname{Var}\left(s_{c}^{2}\right)$ by assuming that the weights sum to 1 . This merely rescales the weights and will have no impact on the computation of $\operatorname{Var}\left(s_{c}^{2}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{Var}\left(s_{c}^{2}\right)$ reduces to

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(s_{c}^{2}\right)=2 \sigma^{4} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{3}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}{1-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

Note that when the w's are all equal to $1 / n$, then

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(s_{c}^{2}\right)=2 \sigma^{4} \frac{n / n^{2}-2 n / n^{3}+\left(n / n^{2}\right)^{2}}{1-2 n / n^{2}+\left(n / n^{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{2 \sigma^{4}}{n-1}
$$

which is the same as $\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{s}^{2}\right)$ in that case.
Let us now determine what are the values of the w's that minimize $\operatorname{Var}\left(s_{c}^{2}\right)$ subject to the constraint that the sum of the w's is equal to 1 . To do this we form the Lagrangean

$$
L=\log \left(2 \sigma^{4}\right)+\log \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{3}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]-\log \left[1-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]-\xi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}-1\right),
$$

set the derivative of $L$ with respect to each of the $w_{i}$ equal to 0 , and solve for the minimizing values of the $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\xi$, the Lagrange multiplier. The result of this is the set of $n$ equations

$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_{i}}=\frac{2 w_{i}\left(1+2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}^{2}\right)-6 w_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}^{2}-2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}^{3}+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{4 w_{i}\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}^{2}\right)}{1-2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}^{2}+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}^{2}\right)^{2}}=\xi
$$

The only way for this equation to hold for each of the $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is when all of the $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are equal, i.e., when $s_{c}^{2}=s^{2}$. Otherwise $\operatorname{Var}\left(s_{c}^{2}\right)$ will be greater than $\operatorname{Var}\left(s^{2}\right)$.

## 4. Conclusion

Given both the bias in the SPSS estimate of $\sigma^{2}$ and its incorrect denominator in determining the standard error of $x^{*}$, the probabilities calculated based on the $t$-statistic will be incorrect. The probabilities based on both the WinCross and Mentor statistics will be correct, but, because Mentor uses an estimate of the variance of $x^{*}$ with a larger variance than that of the estimate used by WinCross, it is more likely that one will find fewer significant differences using the Mentor procedure than using the WinCross procedure.

## APPENDIX I

|  | w | $\mathrm{w}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{w}^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.995127 | 0.990278 | 0.98545212 |
| 2 | 0.991954 | 0.983973 | 0.97605569 |
| 3 | 0.989075 | 0.978269 | 0.96758176 |
| 4 | 0.982972 | 0.966234 | 0.94978092 |
| 5 | 0.971904 | 0.944597 | 0.91805798 |
| 6 | 0.968704 | 0.938387 | 0.90901967 |
| 7 | 0.965210 | 0.931630 | 0.89921892 |
| 8 | 0.954774 | 0.911593 | 0.87036567 |
| 9 | 0.952251 | 0.906782 | 0.86348403 |
| 10 | 0.941401 | 0.886236 | 0.83430331 |
| 11 | 0.938380 | 0.880557 | 0.82629710 |
| 12 | 0.919475 | 0.845434 | 0.77735568 |
| 13 | 0.917015 | 0.840917 | 0.77113305 |
| 14 | 0.888908 | 0.790157 | 0.70237726 |
| 15 | 0.882978 | 0.779650 | 0.68841393 |
| 16 | 0.853234 | 0.728008 | 0.62116140 |
| 17 | 0.837742 | 0.701812 | 0.58793710 |
| 18 | 0.823839 | 0.678711 | 0.55914834 |
| 19 | 0.817090 | 0.667636 | 0.54551875 |
| 20 | 0.810228 | 0.656469 | 0.53188990 |
| 21 | 0.805057 | 0.648117 | 0.52177095 |
| 22 | 0.793969 | 0.630387 | 0.50050756 |
| 23 | 0.782669 | 0.612571 | 0.47944015 |
| 24 | 0.781462 | 0.610683 | 0.47722545 |
| 25 | 0.736144 | 0.541908 | 0.39892231 |
| 26 | 0.722549 | 0.522077 | 0.37722626 |
| 27 | 0.718437 | 0.516152 | 0.37082250 |
| 28 | 0.693553 | 0.481016 | 0.33360993 |
| 29 | 0.663519 | 0.440257 | 0.29211919 |
| 30 | 0.648944 | 0.421128 | 0.27328869 |
| 31 | 0.610076 | 0.372193 | 0.22706585 |
| 32 | 0.578844 | 0.335060 | 0.19394769 |
| 33 | 0.575269 | 0.330934 | 0.19037631 |
| 34 | 0.571105 | 0.326161 | 0.18627213 |
| 35 | 0.538395 | 0.289869 | 0.15606412 |
| 36 | 0.537269 | 0.288658 | 0.15508698 |
| 37 | 0.523968 | 0.274542 | 0.14385147 |
| 38 | 0.521198 | 0.271647 | 0.14158206 |
| 39 | 0.507969 | 0.258033 | 0.13107251 |
|  |  |  |  |


| 40 | 0.471876 | 0.222667 | 0.10507119 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 41 | 0.462365 | 0.213781 | 0.09884503 |
| 42 | 0.456192 | 0.208111 | 0.09493864 |
| 43 | 0.445603 | 0.198562 | 0.08847984 |
| 44 | 0.441056 | 0.194530 | 0.08579880 |
| 45 | 0.437094 | 0.191051 | 0.08350732 |
| 46 | 0.422376 | 0.178401 | 0.07535251 |
| 47 | 0.421953 | 0.178044 | 0.07512634 |
| 48 | 0.417159 | 0.174022 | 0.07259469 |
| 49 | 0.405299 | 0.164267 | 0.06657736 |
| 50 | 0.392635 | 0.154162 | 0.06052949 |
| 51 | 0.387894 | 0.150462 | 0.05836321 |
| 52 | 0.383761 | 0.147273 | 0.05651744 |
| 53 | 0.377796 | 0.142730 | 0.05392275 |
| 54 | 0.371654 | 0.138127 | 0.05133534 |
| 55 | 0.357678 | 0.127934 | 0.04575902 |
| 56 | 0.341958 | 0.116935 | 0.03998695 |
| 57 | 0.306573 | 0.093987 | 0.02881388 |
| 58 | 0.305468 | 0.093311 | 0.02850343 |
| 59 | 0.296491 | 0.087907 | 0.02606361 |
| 60 | 0.289246 | 0.083663 | 0.02419926 |
| 61 | 0.283096 | 0.080143 | 0.02268826 |
| 62 | 0.280116 | 0.078465 | 0.02197929 |
| 63 | 0.269943 | 0.072869 | 0.01967054 |
| 64 | 0.266302 | 0.070917 | 0.01888527 |
| 65 | 0.265191 | 0.070326 | 0.01864989 |
| 66 | 0.257537 | 0.066325 | 0.01708122 |
| 67 | 0.249131 | 0.062066 | 0.01546263 |
| 68 | 0.233802 | 0.054663 | 0.01278041 |
| 69 | 0.231034 | 0.053377 | 0.01233183 |
| 70 | 0.227916 | 0.051946 | 0.01183926 |
| 71 | 0.207306 | 0.042976 | 0.00890914 |
| 72 | 0.206597 | 0.042682 | 0.00881804 |
| 73 | 0.192060 | 0.036887 | 0.00708453 |
| 74 | 0.190022 | 0.036108 | 0.00686138 |
| 75 | 0.188724 | 0.035617 | 0.00672174 |
| 76 | 0.184651 | 0.034096 | 0.00629586 |
| 77 | 0.180900 | 0.032725 | 0.00591992 |
| 78 | 0.179789 | 0.032324 | 0.00581151 |
| 79 | 0.169282 | 0.028656 | 0.00485101 |
| 80 | 0.155006 | 0.024027 | 0.00372431 |
|  |  |  |  |


| 81 | 0.151594 | 0.022981 | 0.00348374 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 82 | 0.149657 | 0.022397 | 0.00335190 |
| 83 | 0.146832 | 0.021560 | 0.00316564 |
| 84 | 0.123566 | 0.015269 | 0.00188667 |
| 85 | 0.121520 | 0.014767 | 0.00179450 |
| 86 | 0.117982 | 0.013920 | 0.00164228 |
| 87 | 0.111100 | 0.012343 | 0.00137133 |
| 88 | 0.109864 | 0.012070 | 0.00132607 |
| 89 | 0.101032 | 0.010207 | 0.00103128 |
| 90 | 0.094285 | 0.008890 | 0.00083816 |
| 91 | 0.091617 | 0.008394 | 0.00076900 |
| 92 | 0.088234 | 0.007785 | 0.00068692 |
| 93 | 0.067813 | 0.004599 | 0.00031185 |
| 94 | 0.063359 | 0.004014 | 0.00025435 |
| 95 | 0.050390 | 0.002539 | 0.00012795 |
| 96 | 0.034176 | 0.001168 | 0.00003992 |
| 97 | 0.029486 | 0.000869 | 0.00002564 |
| 98 | 0.029208 | 0.000853 | 0.00002492 |
| 99 | 0.026666 | 0.000711 | 0.00001896 |
| 100 | 0.009006 | 0.000081 | 0.00000073 |

